



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginis 22313-1450 www.tispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/775,970	02/02/2001	John Noto	2557-001	9638	
7590 11/28/2003			EXAMINER		
Kevin L. Pontius			NGO, HUYEN LE		
ROBERTS ABOKHAIR & MARDULA, LLC SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11800 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE			2871		
RESTON, VA 20191			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Allowance (PTOL-85). (b)	ing application. It Appeals and Interere are no allowed c	ference rendered on and laims.	Julie -Huyen L. N. Patent Examir Art Unit 287	king court review
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fee. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings we after the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have be. 4. The letter of express abandonment the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continut. 6. The decision by the Board of Pater of the decision has expired and the. 7. The reason(s) below:	uing application. It Appeals and Interere are no allowed c	ference rendered on and laims.	Julie -Huyen L. N. Patent Examir Art Unit 287	king court review
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fee 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings we after the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have been supplicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continution of the decision by the Board of Pater of the decision has expired and the	ing application. nt Appeals and Inter	ference rendered on and	Julie -Huyen L. N	king court review
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fee 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings we after the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have been supplicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continution of the decision by the Board of Pater of the decision has expired and the	ing application. nt Appeals and Inter	ference rendered on and	because the period for seel	king court review
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fee 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings we after the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have been supplicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continution of the decision by the Board of Pater of the decision has expired and the	ing application. nt Appeals and Inter	ference rendered on and		
 (b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fees. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corresponding to Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings waster the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have been supplicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuation of the decision by the Board of Pater of the decision has expired and the 	ing application. nt Appeals and Inter	ference rendered on and		
 (b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fees. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corresponding to Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings waster the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have been supplicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuation of the decision by the Board of Pater of the decision has expired and the 	ing application. nt Appeals and Inter	ference rendered on and		
 (b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fees. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corresponding to Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings waster the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have been supplicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuation of the decision by the Board of Pater of the decision has expired and the 	ing application. nt Appeals and Inter	ference rendered on and		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fee 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings we after the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have be 4. The letter of express abandonment the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continut.	ing application. nt Appeals and Inter	ference rendered on and		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fee 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings we after the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have be 4. The letter of express abandonment the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continut.	ing application. nt Appeals and Inter	ference rendered on and		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fee 3. Applicant's failure to timely file correctly file file file file file file file file		an attorney or agent (acting in a	a representative capacity un	der 37 CFR
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fee 3. Applicant's failure to timely file correctly file file file file file file file file	t which is signed hy	an attorney or agent (acting in a	a representative capacity un	der 37 CFR
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fees. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file correctly Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings was after the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have been supported by the letter of express abandonment.				
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fees. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file correctly Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings was after the expiration of the period (b) No corrected drawings have be	wnich is signed by	the attorney or agent of record,	ule assignee of the entire in	iterest, or all of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fee 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings we after the expiration of the period	A condition to the control of the	Ma a Managara a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	4hn nasianna of 4hn nosi !-	stamat es all st
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) The issue fee and publication fee 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings were allowability (PTO-37).				
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee 3.☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corre Allowability (PTO-37).		(with a Certificate of Mailing	or transmission dated), which is
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37 (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fe	_			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is The issue fee required by 37	ected drawings as r	equired by, and within the three-	-month period set in, the Not	tice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is				,
•		. The publication fee, if require	d by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
Allowance (DTOL-85)	insufficient. A bala	nce of \$ is due.		
), which is after the expira	ation of the statutor	period for payment of the issue	e fee (and publication fee) se	et in the Notice of
from the mailing date of the Notice (a) The issue fee and publication	fee, if applicable, v	vas received on (with a	Certificate of Mailing or Tra	ansmission dated
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the			e, within the statutory period	of three months
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.				
final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.8				
(c) ⊠ A reply was received on 4/1/20	•		na fide attempt at a proper re	eply, to the non-
	vance; (2) a timely f	led Notice of Appeal (with appea		
1		tion consists only of: (1) a timely		
period for reply (including a total (b) A proposed reply was received		of month(s)) which expire		ne final rejection
Applicant's failure to timely file a pr (a) ☐ A reply was received on	roper reply to the Of (with a Certificate o	fice letter mailed on <u>06 Februar</u>) f Mailing or Transmission dated	<u>y 2003</u> . I), which is after the €	expiration of the
This application is abandoned in view of				
		ppeuro en are coron ancomme	u.	
The MAIL ING DATE of this	communication a	Julie-Huyen L. Ngo ppears on the cover sheet wit	2871 th the correspondence add	dress-
Notice of Abandon	nment	09/775,970 Examiner	NOTO ET AL.	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	

Application/Control Number: 09/775,970

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains embodiments directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A. The species of First embodiment (figure 2).
- B. The species of Second embodiment (figure 6).
- C. The species of Third embodiment (figure 7).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims and any drawings readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should <u>submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record</u>

Art Unit: 2871

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Julie-Huyen L. Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 305-3508. The Examiner can normally be reached on T-Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Robert H. Kim can be reached at (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-4709 for regular communications and (703) 746-4709 for After Final communications. Please contact the Examiner before faxing any paper to the Office.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

June 4, 2004

Julie - Huyen L. Ngo Patent Examiner Art Unit 2871